

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/647,785	08/26/2003	Pierre Rondeau	RP-00128-US35	2010
909	7590 06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP		FLEMING, FAYE M		
P.O. BOX 10: MCLEAN, V			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	į.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Υ
	10/647,785	RONDEAU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Faye Fleming	3616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
•	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows			s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	(an almatta e e e e e e e e e		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
	cepted or b) dbjected to		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		· · ·	` '
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	nts have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have bee	Application No	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		t received.	
Attachment(s)	Λ .	0	
) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) L Interview Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date. /	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/26/03</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Iviali Date <u>6/26/03</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/647,785 Page 2

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: the term "ATV-type tire" should be described in the specification. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The terms "ATV-type tire" is unclear; the examiner notes the applicant fails to provide a description in the specification of the terms.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/647,785

Art Unit: 3616

5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitao, et al (6,296,163).

Page 3

Kitao, et al teaches an ATV comprising a frame; only four wheels suspended from the frame, two of which are front wheels and two which are rear wheels, the front wheels defining a front axis and the rear wheels defining a rear axis; a power unit for driving at least one of the wheels disposed on the frame; a straddle-type seat supported by the frame, the seat including a main seat portion for a driver, the main seat portion having a rearward part, a secondary seat portion, rearward of the main seat portion; a rear rack disposed rearwardly of a rearward end of the secondary seat portion; and a steering member comprising handlebars. The secondary seat portion does not interfere with an open storage space provided on top of the rear rack.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 4-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitao, et al (6,296,163) in view of Hendrick, et al (4,300,706).

Kitao teaches the claimed invention except for a back rest. Hendrick teaches a back rest 144 positioned at a rearward end of a seat portion. Based on the teachings of Hendrick, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the secondary seat portion of Kitao to include a back rest to provide comfort for a secondary passenger.

With respect to the rearward end of the secondary seat portion, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the rearward end of the secondary seat portion longitudinally disposed in front of a back side of the rear rack a specific distance or within a specific range, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to the wheelbase, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to have the wheelbase a specific size and/or a size within a specific range, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, as well as, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faye Fleming whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/647,785

Art Unit: 3616

(9:00-5:00).

number is (703) 305-0209. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on (703) 308-2089. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Faye Fleming And Examiner
Art Unit 3616

Page 5